UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,129	02/13/2004	Sikun Lan	CITI0286-US	3809
	7590 08/11/200 DING LLP (CITI CUS	-	EXAMINER	
ATTN: GEORGE T. MARCOU 1700 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW			CERVETTI, DAVID GARCIA	
SUITE 200	ILVANIA AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		2436	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/777,129	LAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David García Cervetti	2436					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this coin (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ma</u>	av 2009.						
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
<i>,</i> —	<i>,</i> —						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.	·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	·- · ·- ·	-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under do G.C.G. § 110(a)	(d) 01 (l).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage				
<del></del>	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·						
Attachmont/e\							
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/777,129 Page 2

Art Unit: 2436

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment filed 5/1/2009 has been fully considered.

2. Claims 1-19 are pending and have been examined. Claims 20-40 have been canceled.

### Response to Amendment

3. Regarding Applicant's arguments against the prior art, Examiner respectfully submits that Vincent in fact teaches "wherein the first submission is directed to a second party and includes an identifier associated with the first submission", as follows, the first submission is the request for bids (fig.5), and is directed to a second party (publisher-subscriber environment), and has an identifier associated with it (GUIDs). Furthermore, the second party, seller, replies (response) to the request with specifications (fig.6) wherein the notification provides information for securely accessing the response (it contains a digital signature). **Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.** 

#### Information Disclosure Statement

4. It is noted that no information disclosure statement has been filed on this application to date.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 6-7, and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vincent (US 2002/0165815).

Regarding claim 1, Vincent teaches

Art Unit: 2436

a method for providing a secure response to a first party (abstract), comprising the steps of:

receiving a first submission from the first party over a communications network, wherein the first submission is directed to a second party and includes an identifier associated with the first submission, and wherein the first party is not authenticated or registered with the second party (par.35-36, purchaser post statement of interest to online marketplace, directed to sellers);

receiving a response to the first submission from the second party; storing the response for later retrieval by the first party or the second party (par.36-37, seller responds by providing information);

sending a notification to the first party, wherein the notification provides information for securely accessing the response (par.71, requests are assigned an id);

receiving a second submission from the first party wherein the second submission comprises information for correlation to the identifier provided in the first submission (par.37-38, purchaser issues formal request for bid);

authenticating the first party (par.71, using unique id); and permitting the first party to securely access the response from the second party (par.36-37, 71, purchaser receives information based on unique id).

**Regarding claim 2**, Vincent teaches wherein the communications network is the Internet (par.35).

**Regarding claim 6**, Vincent teaches wherein the first party is a user at a client system (par.34-37).

Application/Control Number: 10/777,129

Art Unit: 2436

Regarding claim 7, Vincent teaches wherein the user is a prospect (par.34-37).

Page 4

**Regarding claim 9**, Vincent teaches wherein the submission from the first party is forms-based (par.34-37).

**Regarding claim 10**, Vincent teaches wherein the submission from the first party contains private information about the first party (par.34-37).

**Regarding claim 11**, Vincent teaches wherein the submission from the first party is received through a secure system (par.34-37).

**Regarding claim 12**, Vincent teaches wherein the second party includes a customer service representative (par.34-37).

**Regarding claim 13**, Vincent teaches wherein the response to the submission contains private information about the first party (par.34-37).

**Regarding claim 14**, Vincent teaches wherein the notification is an unsecured email notification (par.34-37).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3-5, 8, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vincent.

**Regarding claims 3-5**, Vincent does not expressly disclose wherein the identifier is a password / username/ or email address, however Examiner takes Official Notice

that the <u>use</u> of these as identifiers and for access control was conventional and well known. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use them with the system of Vincent since Examiner takes Official Notice that it was conventional and well known.

Regarding claim 8, Vincent does not expressly disclose wherein the first party pre-registered with the second party prior to the submission by the first party, however, Examiner takes Official Notice that registering a first party with a second party prior to a first party posting information to the second party was conventional and well known.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to let users of the system of Vincent to pre-register since Examiner takes Official Notice that it was conventional and well known.

Regarding claims 15-19, Vincent does not expressly disclose the claimed features, however Examiner takes Official Notice that keeping track of bounced notifications (i.e. emails sent to a user of a system), links to authentication pages, and access control features (recording the attempts to access the response, preventing access to the response after a predetermined time period, and preventing access to the response after a predetermined number of failed attempts) were conventional and well known. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine such features with the system of Vincent to pre-register since Examiner takes Official Notice that it was conventional and well known.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,129 Page 6

Art Unit: 2436

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID CERVETTI whose telephone number is (571)272-5861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on (571)272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David García Cervetti/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2436